

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/720,669	11/25/2003	Uma Kant Singh	34874-281	6260	
64280 MINTZ LEVI	7590 05/12/201 IN, COHN, FERRIS, G	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			RECEK, JASON D		
BOSTON, MA 02111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2442	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/720,669		SINGH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JASON RECEK	2442	

	JASON RECEK	2442						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later final RSI MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWC MONTHS OF THE FIRNAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7506 77(i).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hander 57 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.736(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	Elad within two worth	a of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issue appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-24.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/Philip C Lee/ Acting Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442	/Jason Recek/ Examiner, Art Unit 2442							

Continuation of 1.1 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considering but are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that fuller does not disclose messages because it doesn't even use the term 'message' (pg. 8). This is not persuasive. Applicant asserts that flutter does not disclose messages because it doesn't even use the term 'message'. It seems applicant is taking a narrow view of the term 'message'. This term is not defined by the specification, however the specification does indicate (paraggraph 9) that a 'message' cidentifies changes and exchanges information (pargraph 50). Thus it seems that a log of transactions indicating changes is exactly the type of 'message' as used in the claims because it identifies changes and allows information to be exchanged. Applicant's assertion that Multer (486) does not disclose a user identifier linked to two more device identifiers (pg. 9) is not persuasive. As acknowledged by applicant (pg. 9), Multer teaches maintaining user data across multiple devices. Multer (486) was only relied upon for teaching two or more devices (see pg. 6 of the Office Action dated 3/16/10). Thus the argument that it does not beach a user identifier is not persuasive because Creswell was cited for teaching a user identifier linking to a device (pg. 5 of Office Action dated 3/16/10). Applicant also asserts that no clear rejection was provided for claim 24. It is noted that the items in the parenthetical, which seem to be the source of concord. The refree, a clear basis was in fact provided. Applicant's suggestion that examiner took 'official notice' is incorrect. The Office Action did not of the Official notice for any features of the claims. The features found in claim 24 that were not found in claim 20 were discussed in detail on pg. 7 of that Office Action with clear cidations to the specific portions of the reference.